PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONA	L PRELIMINARY EXA	MINING AUTHORITY		
To: CHARLES N. J. RUGGIERO OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIERO & PERLE, L.L.P. ONE LANDMARK SQUARE, 9TH FLOOR		PCT		
STAMFO	RD, CONNECTICUT	06901-2682		WRITTEN OPINION
				(PCT Rule 66)
			Date of Mailing (day/month/year)	03 DEC 1999
Applicant's or ag	gent's file reference		REPLY DUE	ithin TWO months om the above date of mailing
International app	olication No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US99/086		20 APRIL 1999		20 APRIL 1998
International Pate IPC(6): A61F	ent Classification (IPC) 13/15; and US Cl.: 60-	or both national classific 4/359	ation and IPC	
Applicant PLAYTEX PR	ODUCTS, INC.			
1 X 11	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of o Lack of unity of inven Reasoned statement un	tion der Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with	ovelty, inventive step	or industrial applicability Iventive step or industrial applicability;
VI 🗀	Certain documents cite	ons supporting such state	ement	:VED
VII 🗀		nternational application		
VIII ☐		the international applic	DEC 0	5 1999
3. The applicant	is hereby invited to rep	ly to this opinion.	novoléků Novoléků	SPEECEY,
When?	See the time limit ind Authority to grant an	icated above. The applic	ant may, before the e	expiration of that time limit, request this
How?	By submitting a writte For the form and the	en reply, accompanied, v language of the amendm	where appropriate, by ents, see Rules 66.8	amendments, according to Rule 66.3.
Also If no reply i	For the examiner's ob For an informal comm	ortunity to submit amend ligation to consider amen nunication with the exam preliminary examination	ndments and/or argun iner, see Rule 66.6.	nents, see Rule 66.4 bis.
4. The final date	by which the internatio			
				
Commissio Box PCT	address of the IPEA/US oner of Patents and Trademar	i i	Authorized officer MILEY CRAIG I	PEPPERS, III
wasnington acsimile No. (70	n, D.C. 20231 03) 305-3230	χ.		3) 305-0841

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (January 1994)*

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US99/08668

I. Basis of	f the opinion		
1. This opinion invitation u	n has been drawn on nder Article 14 are r	the basis of (Substitute shee eferred to in this opinion as	ets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an originally filed".):
x	the international	l application as original	lly filed.
X	the description,	pages NONE pages NONE	, as originally filed. , filed with the demand. , filed with the letter of
X	the claims,	Nos. 1-26 Nos. NONE Nos. NONE	, as originally filed. , as amended under Article 19. , filed with the demand. , filed with the letter of
x	the drawings,	sheets/fig NONE	, as originally filed, filed with the demand, filed with the letter of
2 The amen	imento have recult	ed in the cancellation of:	
z. The amend		pages NONE	
x		Nos. NONE	
X		heets/ fig NONE	
3. This to g	s opinion has been of beyond the disclos	established as if (some of) sure as filed, as indicated in	the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered in the Supplemental Box Additional observations below (Rule 70.2(c)).
4. Additiona NONE	l observations, if	necessary:	

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US99/08668

Sup	plem	ental	Box
-----	------	-------	-----

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII

Sheet 10

TIME LIMIT:

THE TIME LIMIT SET FOR RESPONSE TO A WRITTEN OPINION MAY NOT BE EXTENDED. 37 CFR 1.484(d). ANY RESPONSE RECEIVED AFTER THE EXPIRATION OF THE TIME LIMIT SET IN THE WRITTEN OPINION WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED IN PREPARING THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT.

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US99/08668

1. STATEMENT			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-26	
	Claims	NONE	- ,
Inventive Step (IS)	Clair	Nove	_ ,
(10)	Claims Claims	NONE I-26	_ Y
		- 20	_ N
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-26	
	Claims	NONE	_ Y N
al. disclose the natural zeolite, clinoptilolite Lamensdorf et al. in Marcus et al. to obtain Claims 2-16, as to the embodiments listed ir such structural relationships unexpectedly pr structures of Lamensdorf et al. and Marcus	ilolite species with used in water pur the properties given these claims it is ovide a new resul	s noted that the applicant's specification does not set forth t t or unexpectedly solve any problem in the art over the	ilar f et und c that
al. disclose the natural zeolite, clinoptilolite Lamensdorf et al. in Marcus et al. to obtain Claims 2-16, as to the embodiments listed ir such structural relationships unexpectedly pr structures of Lamensdorf et al., and Marcus matter of design choice, thus barring convinct the applied art.	ilolite species with used in water put the properties given these claims it is ovide a new resul et al. As such the cing evidence to t	a potassium as a primary exchangeable cation. Lamensdord iffication. It would have been obvious to place the compound in the claimed invention.	ilar f et und o that
al. disclose the natural zeolite, clinoptilolite Lamensdorf et al. in Marcus et al. to obtain Claims 2-16, as to the embodiments listed in such structural relationships unexpectedly pr structures of Lamensdorf et al., and Marcus matter of design choice, thus barring convinct the applied art.	ilolite species with used in water put the properties given these claims it is ovide a new resul et al. As such the cing evidence to t	in potassium as a primary exchangeable cation. Lamensdord ification. It would have been obvious to place the composen in the claimed invention. It is noted that the applicant's specification does not set forth to unexpectedly solve any problem in the art over the	ilar f et und o that
al. disclose the natural zeolite, clinoptilolite Lamensdorf et al. in Marcus et al. to obtain Claims 2-16, as to the embodiments listed ir such structural relationships unexpectedly pr structures of Lamensdorf et al., and Marcus matter of design choice, thus barring convinct the applied art.	ilolite species with used in water put the properties given these claims it is ovide a new resul et al. As such the cing evidence to t	in potassium as a primary exchangeable cation. Lamensdord ification. It would have been obvious to place the composen in the claimed invention. It is noted that the applicant's specification does not set forth to unexpectedly solve any problem in the art over the	ilar f et und o that
al. disclose the natural zeolite, clinoptilolite Lamensdorf et al. in Marcus et al. to obtain Claims 2-16, as to the embodiments listed ir such structural relationships unexpectedly pr structures of Lamensdorf et al., and Marcus matter of design choice, thus barring convinct the applied art.	ilolite species with used in water put the properties given these claims it is ovide a new resul et al. As such the cing evidence to t	in potassium as a primary exchangeable cation. Lamensdord ification. It would have been obvious to place the composen in the claimed invention. It is noted that the applicant's specification does not set forth to unexpectedly solve any problem in the art over the	ilar f et und o that
al. disclose the natural zeolite, clinoptilolite Lamensdorf et al. in Marcus et al. to obtain Claims 2-16, as to the embodiments listed in such structural relationships unexpectedly pr structures of Lamensdorf et al., and Marcus matter of design choice, thus barring convinct the applied art.	ilolite species with used in water put the properties given these claims it is ovide a new resul et al. As such the cing evidence to t	in potassium as a primary exchangeable cation. Lamensdord ification. It would have been obvious to place the composen in the claimed invention. It is noted that the applicant's specification does not set forth to unexpectedly solve any problem in the art over the	ilar f et und o that
al. disclose the natural zeolite, clinoptilolite Lamensdorf et al. in Marcus et al. to obtain Claims 2-16, as to the embodiments listed in such structural relationships unexpectedly pr structures of Lamensdorf et al., and Marcus matter of design choice, thus barring convinct the applied art.	ilolite species with used in water put the properties given these claims it is ovide a new resul et al. As such the cing evidence to t	in potassium as a primary exchangeable cation. Lamensdord ification. It would have been obvious to place the composen in the claimed invention. It is noted that the applicant's specification does not set forth to unexpectedly solve any problem in the art over the	ilar f et und o that
al. disclose the natural zeolite, clinoptilolite Lamensdorf et al. in Marcus et al. to obtain Claims 2-16, as to the embodiments listed in such structural relationships unexpectedly prestructures of Lamensdorf et al. and Marcus	ilolite species with used in water put the properties given these claims it is ovide a new resul et al. As such the cing evidence to t	in potassium as a primary exchangeable cation. Lamensdord ification. It would have been obvious to place the composen in the claimed invention. It is noted that the applicant's specification does not set forth to unexpectedly solve any problem in the art over the	ilar f et und o that
al. disclose the natural zeolite, clinoptilolite Lamensdorf et al. in Marcus et al. to obtain Claims 2-16, as to the embodiments listed ir such structural relationships unexpectedly pr structures of Lamensdorf et al., and Marcus matter of design choice, thus barring convinct the applied art.	ilolite species with used in water put the properties given these claims it is ovide a new resul et al. As such the cing evidence to t	in potassium as a primary exchangeable cation. Lamensdord ification. It would have been obvious to place the composen in the claimed invention. It is noted that the applicant's specification does not set forth to unexpectedly solve any problem in the art over the	ilar f et und o that
al. disclose the natural zeolite, clinoptilolite Lamensdorf et al. in Marcus et al. to obtain Claims 2-16, as to the embodiments listed ir such structural relationships unexpectedly pr structures of Lamensdorf et al., and Marcus matter of design choice, thus barring convinct the applied art.	ilolite species with used in water put the properties given these claims it is ovide a new resul et al. As such the cing evidence to t	in potassium as a primary exchangeable cation. Lamensdord ification. It would have been obvious to place the composen in the claimed invention. It is noted that the applicant's specification does not set forth to unexpectedly solve any problem in the art over the	ilar f et und o that
al. disclose the natural zeolite, clinoptilolite Lamensdorf et al. in Marcus et al. to obtain Claims 2-16, as to the embodiments listed ir such structural relationships unexpectedly pr structures of Lamensdorf et al., and Marcus matter of design choice, thus barring convinct the applied art.	ilolite species with used in water put the properties given these claims it is ovide a new resul et al. As such the cing evidence to t	in potassium as a primary exchangeable cation. Lamensdord ification. It would have been obvious to place the composen in the claimed invention. It is noted that the applicant's specification does not set forth to unexpectedly solve any problem in the art over the	ilar f et und o that